

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/808,271	PAPINEAU ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Qing-Yuan Wu	2194	

All Participants:

(1) Qing-Yuan Wu.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) David L. Ciesielski.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 March 2009

Time: 1pm EST

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 13, 19, 25 and 32

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

General discussion of newly added claims in the amendment filed on 1/16/09 and the examiner suggested applicant to incorporate limitations from dependent claims 35 and 38-40 in corresponding independent claims for allowability consideration.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Li B. Zhen/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)